

1 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
2 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

3 UNIQUE BARNETT, et al.

4 Plaintiffs

5 v.

6 CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT, et
7 al.,

8 Defendants

Case No.: 2:21-cv-00218-APG-VCF

Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal

[ECF No. 81]

9 I previously granted in part the plaintiffs' motion to seal various documents supporting
10 their opposition to the defendants' motion for summary judgment. ECF No. 77. I ordered the
11 documents temporarily sealed and required the parties to confer about what portions of the
12 exhibits should remain sealed. *Id.* The plaintiffs now move to seal only two of those documents,
13 and agree to make those publicly available in redacted form. ECF No. 81. The request satisfies
14 the standards for sealing set forth in *Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172,
15 1178 (9th Cir. 2006).

16 I THEREFORE ORDER that the plaintiffs' motion to seal **(ECF No. 81) is granted.**
17 Exhibits 28 and 35 are properly sealed and shall remain so. The plaintiffs shall file publicly
18 available but redacted versions of those two exhibits and unredacted versions of the other
19 documents that were the subject of my prior order (which the parties now agree do not need to be
20 sealed). Those documents are to be filed in CM/ECF by March 31, 2023.

21 DATED this 22nd day of March, 2023.

22 
23

ANDREW P. GORDON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE